Approved For Release 2005/11/21: CIA-RDP70B00338R000300050017-2

SENATE APPRO CONSULAR TREAT WITH SOVIET UNIO

First Bilateral Convention Between Nations Is Voted With a 3-Vote Margin

RUSSIA STILL MUST AC

Accord Effective 30 Day After Exchange of Papers - President Is Pleased

By JOHN W. FINNEY Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, March 16-The Senate, in the first legislative endorsement of the Administration's East - West "bridge-building" policy, narrowly approved today the consular treaty with the Soviet Union.

The vote was 66 to 28, only three more than the two-three majority required for approval of a treaty. Voting for the treaty were 44 Democrats and 22 Republicans; in opposition were 15 Democrats and 13 Republicans.

The convention now goes for formal ratification to President Johnson, who had requested approval of the three-year treaty as a step in his policy of "peaceful engagement" with the Soviet Union and the Communist nations of Eastern Europe.

Signing Date in Doubt

There was no immediate indication whether the President would sign the formal ratification papers before his departure on Saturday to attend a Vietnam strategy tonference in Guam.

The convention, the first bilateral treaty between the two nations, has not yet been ratified by the Soviet Union, which has been waiting for the United States to take the lead. It will go into effect 30 days after the two confittes exchange in-striments of ratification poroved For Release 2005/11/21 :

. Basicany, the treaty provides the legal framework for a re-sumption of consular relations oright, chairman of the Senate broken off in 1948 following an origin Relations Committee, incident with a Soviet school-was giving much of the credit teacher in the Russian consulatefor the successful outcome to in New York City.

Senator Thruston B. Morton,

conservative groups in the and in prodding the Adminis-United States, however, the tration to take a more active treaty has assumed a symbolic stance in support of the stance i

Big Margin Expected

The Senate approval was re-role in its support. garded as an important foreign House news conference, Presipolicy victory for President dent Johnson, who in a speech last urged ratification of the treaty October and then again in his and announced that he had been assured by J. Edgar urged adoption of the treaty as evidence of the willingness of the United States to "build bridges" to the East. The vote, however, we considere him each of the Presidence of the Presidence of the Presidence of the East. however, was considerably closer than had been expected by of the principal arguments of the Administration.

margins by which the Senate the treaty, by permitting the establishment of Soviet consutive amendments and reservative sproposed by conservative. The opposition then shifted its with nearly the full Senate membership voting, the Administration found its ranks

weakened by a few last-minute switches and some absentees.

The treaty was signed in June, 1964, but until this year the Administration and the Senate Democratic leadership nesitated to bring it up for a vote for fear it might be lefeated.

When the treaty was reported to the Senate last month, here was still considerable loubt that it would be possible o muster the required twohirds majority. In the opinion of treaty supporters, the uncertain prospects for the treaty were changed as much by the efforts of certain Republicans is by the lobbying of the Administration.

Political Initiative

Largely because of the oppo-was active behind the scenes in sition it has encountered from lining up Republican support conservative groups in the scenes in support conservative groups in the scenes in support conservative groups in the scenes in the scene in the scen

did not assume a more active

emphatically Johnson

This assurance to the Presi On the basis of the decisive statements by Mr. Hoover, that

The opposition then shifted its Republicans in the eight days of objections to the argument that debate, the Administration exits was untimely to make a pected that the treaty might friendly gesture toward the So-be approved by nearly a 3-to-1 margin. But on the final vote, larms were killing Americans in Vietnam—an argument made today in the final round of debate by Senator Karl E. Mundt, Republican of South Dakota, the

leader of the opposition.
The Administration's rebuttal was that the treaty would serve to reduce tensions between the two major nuclear powers and thus indirectly might contribute to a peaceful solution of the Victnam war. This argument was endorsed today by the two principal Republican spokesmen foreign policy—Senator Bourke B. Hickenlooper of Iowa and the Senate minority leader, Everett McKinley Dirksen of Illinois.

Reluctant Support

Schator Hickenlooper, who two years age voted against the treaty in committee, said he was "somewhat rejuctantly supporting it now because he believed it "may contribute, in a small way, to a lessening of tensions between the United tensions between the United States and the Soviet Union."

Senator Dirksen, who has been resting in the Walter Reed Army Medical Center, returned to the Senate floor to tell his the treaty as a "small step" to-

the balance in Layor of the treaty, in the opinion of many Senators, was the move by the Senate Democratic leader, Mike Mansfield, at the suggestion of Senator Morton to replace for Senator Morton, to replace Senator Fulbright as floor manager during much of the debate. Because of his criticism of Administration Vietnam policy, it was feared that Senator Fulbright could lose several Republican votes if he served as principal defender of the treaty.

Roll-Call Vote in Senate **Backing Consul Treaty**

WASHINGTON, March 16 (AP)—Following is the roll-call vote by which the Senate approved today the consular treaty with the Soviet Union:

FOR APPROVAL-66 Democrats-44

rais—44

McGovern (S.D.)
McIntyre (N.H.)
McIntyre (N.H.)
McIntyre (N.H.)
McIntyre (N.H.)
McIntyre (M.H.)
McIntyre (Min.)
Mondaie (Minn.)
Monoroney (Okla.)
Moss (Utah)
Muskie (Me.)
Nelson (Wis.)
Pastore (R.I.)
Pell (R.I.)
Pell (R.I.)
Pell (R.I.)
Proxmire (Wis.)
Randolph (W.Va.)
Randolph (W.Va.)
Randolph (W.Va.)
Randolph (W.Va.)
Sparkman (Ala.)
Spong (Va.)
Sparkman (Ala.)
Spong (Va.)
Tydings (Md.)
Williams (N.I.)
Williams (N.I.)
Varborough (Tex.)
Young (Ohio) De Anderson (N.M.)
Sartiett (Alaska)
Sayh (Ind.)
Sartiett (Alaska)
Sayh (Ind.)
Sartiett (N.D.)
Jiark (Pa.)
Jiender (L.B.)
Fulbright (Ark.)
Sore (Tenn.)
Sore (Tenn.)
Jark (Ind.)
Jarkson (Wash.)
Jarkson (Wash.)
Jardan (N.C.)
Kennedy (Mass.)
Kennedy (Mass.)
Magnuson (Wash.)
Magnuson (Wash.) McCarthy (Mit McGee (Wyo.) Republicans-22

Aiken (Vt.)
Allott (Colo.)
3aker (Tenn.)
3ennett (Utah)
Boggs (Del.)
Carlson (Kan.)
Case (N.J.)
Cooper (Ky.)
Dirksen (II.)
Dominick (Colo.)
Fong (Hawaii)

cans—22
Griffin (Mich.)
Hatfield (Ore.)
Hickenlooper (Iowa)
Javits (N.Y.)
Kuchel Calif.)
Morton (Ky.)
Pearson (Kan.)
Percy (III.)
Prouty (Vt.)
Scott (Pa.)
Smith (Me.)

Fong (Hawaii) AGAINST APPROVAL-28 Democrats---15

Bible (Nev.)
Byrd (Va.)
Dodd (Conn.)
Eastland (Miss.)
Ervin (N.C.)
Gruening (Alaska)
Hill (Ala.)
Holland (Fla.)

Hollings (S.C.)
Lausche (Ohio)
McClellan (Ark.)
Morrloya (N.M.).
Russel (Ga.)
Stennis (Miss.)
Talmadge (Ga.)

Republicans—13

Republicans—13
Cotton (N.H.)
Curtis (Neb.)
Fannin (Ariz.)
Hansen (Wyo.)
Hruska (Neb.)
Jordan (Idaho)
Miller (Iowa)
Nof voling but paired (Senators use pairs to show positions on an issue when one or more are absent. Brewster (D-Md.) and Church) (D-Idaho) for; and Byrd (D-W. Va.) against.

against.
Not patred or voting but position announced: Long (D-La.) and Cannon (D-Nev.)
against; Brooke (R-Mass.) for